

Effect of two different doses of solifenacin succinate therapy (5mg, 10mg) for the treatment of ureteral stent (Double J) related symptoms in comparison to placebo

Received: 28/6/2018

Accepted: 9/12/2018

Ahmed Adil Mohammed^{1*}

Shawqi Goerge Ghazala²

Nihad Pauls Al-Ibraheem²

Abstract

Background and objective: Double-J ureteral stent is widely used in endourologic surgery. However, it might cause some annoying side effects. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of two different doses of solifenacin (5mg, 10mg) on double-J stent-related symptoms after uncomplicated ureteroscopic lithotripsy.

Methods: This study involved 120 patients. A total of 80 patients undergoing double-J ureteral stent insertion after ureteroscopic lithotripsy were involved in this study and provided with solifenacin postoperatively; 40 patients received 5mg and another 40 patients received 10mg. Another 40 age- and sex-matched patients without solifenacin therapy (received placebo) were enrolled as a placebo group. All patients completed the modified-form of the Ureteral Symptom Score Questionnaire to evaluate the lower urinary tract symptoms, hematuria and stent-related body pain two weeks following the operation. The severity of stent-related symptoms was compared between the three groups.

Results: The mean age was 45.4 years in the 5 mg solifenacin group, 48.2 years in the 10 mg solifenacin group, and 46.8 years in the placebo group. Compared to the placebo group, both solifenacin groups had significantly lower total symptom score, urgency, and urge incontinence scores. Solifenacin groups had significantly less urethral, abdominal and flank pain and hematuria scores. There was no statistically significant difference between the two solifenacin groups of 5mg and 10mg. The solifenacin groups versus the placebo group showed significant benefits in lower urinary tract symptoms, hematuria, and stent-related pain in both genders. Ten subjects encountered minor adverse events in solifenacin groups (8 in the 10 mg group and 2 in the 5 mg group), which was mostly dry mouth and constipation.

Conclusion: Postoperative solifenacin was effective and well-tolerated in patients undergoing ureteroscopic lithotripsy and double-J stent indwelling irrespective of genders, particularly for the treatment of stent-related body pain, hematuria and the lower urinary tract symptoms.

Keywords: Solifenacin; Double J ureteral stent; Lower urinary tract symptoms.

Introduction

The double-J ureteral stent is widely used in endourologic surgery to treat or prevent ureteral obstruction.¹ Some of the patients might suffer from stent-related morbidities, such as lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), stent-related body pain, and hematuria. These side effects are annoying and might have an adverse effect on the quality of life (QoL) and sexual

performance for both genders.²⁻⁴ The pathophysiology of stent-related symptoms is not well understood. However, the pain and LUTS caused by stent placement could be attributed to lower ureter and bladder spasm resulting from local irritation of the stent.⁵ Previous research has revealed that oral agents such as tolterodine ER (antimuscarinics), tamsulosin and alfuzosin (alpha-1

¹ Department of Urology, Rizgary Teaching Hospital, Erbil, Iraq.

² Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, Hawler Medical University, Erbil, Iraq.

* Correspondence: 3adil86@gmail.com

antagonists) can relieve the stent-related symptoms.⁶⁻⁹ Solifenacin is a new antimuscarinic, which is used for treating patients with overactive bladder.^{10,11} The drug might also be useful for stent-related symptoms. However, the currently existing evidence is scarce.¹² This study aimed to assess the effectiveness and safety of solifenacin in treating double-J stent-related symptoms after uncomplicated ureteroscopic lithotripsy (URSL).

Methods

This prospective comparative study was carried out in Rizgary Teaching Hospital, Erbil, Iraqi Kurdistan Region, between April and October 2017. We prospectively collected 80 consecutive adult patients with ureteral stone who received URSL and double-J stent indwelling after obtaining verbal informed consent. The first half of them received 5mg solifenacin, and the second half received 10 mg solifenacin each day postoperatively. Another 40 age- and sex-matched patients given a placebo postoperatively were enrolled as the placebo group. All the procedures were done under general anesthesia. The calculi were disintegrated by Pneumatic lithotripter (Ballistic Lithotripsy-Swiss LithoClast). A double-J ureteral stent was indwelled at the end of the URSL, and the size and length of the stent were the same for all (4.7 Fr. width, 28 cm length and of the same manufacturer - ENDOVASCIVE). All procedures were completed with no complications (no significant residual fragments, no ureteral injury, and no migration to renal pelvis). The patients were discharged after 6 hours. Gentamycin Ampule (80 mg b.i.d.) for three days and diclofenac tablet (50 mg t.i.d.) were prescribed postoperatively. A number of exclusion criteria were applied in this study. Firstly, patients with concomitant renal calculi or residual calculi on the postoperative Kidney-Ureter-Bladder (KUB) film were excluded. Secondly, patients with other diseases which can

result in LUTS or bladder pain, including prostate disease (benign prostate hypertrophy, chronic prostatitis, and prostate cancer), overactive bladder, interstitial cystitis, painful bladder syndrome, and urinary tract infection were also excluded. Thirdly, patients with long-term medications with alpha-blockers or analgesics were excluded. Lastly, patients with procedure-associated complications such as fragments migration to the kidney, ureteral injury, or stent malposition were also excluded from the study. A KUB film was taken to all the patients to confirm the position of the stent and exclude the presence of residual stones. Ureteral stent Symptom Score Questionnaire (USSQ) is a validated and broadly used questionnaire for stent-related symptoms.¹³ We utilized a modified version, brief-form questionnaire, which included urinary symptoms score and body pain score, the two main sections of USSQ. The first section of the questionnaire included four items to evaluate the severity of urinary frequency, urgency, urgent incontinence, and nocturia. This part is adapted from the validated questionnaire of the International Prostate Symptoms Score (IPSS). The second section of the questionnaire included four items to evaluate the severity of body flank pain, abdominal pain, urethral pain, and the presence or absence of gross hematuria. The scoring ranged from 0 to 5; 0 being no pain and 5 being the worst pain ever experienced. Two weeks after the operation, the stent-related symptoms of the studied subjects were assessed. The study protocol was approved by the scientific and ethics committees of the Kurdistan Board of Medical Specialties.

Statistical analysis:

Data were collected using a specially designed questionnaire. The statistical package for the social sciences (version 22) was used for data analysis. The results were compared between patients with different variables. The results were presented as rates, ratios, frequencies,

percentages in tables, and analyzed using ANVOA and Chi-square test. The statistical significance level was set at <0.05 .

Results

The demographic and clinical data are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The mean age was similar between three groups (placebo; 46.8 ± 11.9 years, 5mg solifenacin 45.4 ± 12.3 years and 10mg solifenacin; 48.2 ± 10.6 years, $P <0.71$). There were no significant differences in stone position, laterality, body height, and weight of the subjects in all three groups. Table 3 shows the comparison in double-J stent-related symptoms scores for all three groups, the total mean \pm SD for frequency (2.56 ± 0.93 , $P <0.88$) which is not significant between all three groups, the same thing is right for

nocturia (mean \pm SD, 1.84 ± 0.74 , $P <0.36$). The mean \pm SD for Urgency (1.05 ± 1.04 , $P <0.001$) and for incontinence (0.32 ± 0.62 , $P <0.001$) show significant differences between them with no significant difference between 5mg solifenacin group and 10mg solifenacin group ($P <0.641$ for urgency and $P <0.833$ for incontinence). In stent related pain and gross hematuria, all parameter shows significant differences between the placebo group and the two solifenacin groups (total mean \pm SD for flank pain 1.37 ± 0.95 , $P <0.001$, for abdominal pain 1.25 ± 1.26 , $P <0.001$, for urethral pain 1.45 ± 1.20 , $P <0.001$, and for Gross hematuria 1.46 ± 1.21 , $P <0.001$) but with no significant differences between the two solifenacin groups.

Table 1: The demographic and clinical characteristic in all subjects (age, body height and body weight).

Variables	5 mg Solifenacin (n = 40) (mean \pm SD)	10 mg Solifenacin (n = 40) (mean \pm SD)	Placebo (n = 40) (mean \pm SD)	P (ANOVA)
Age	45.4 ± 12.3	48.2 ± 10.6	46.8 ± 11.9	0.71
Body height	163 ± 9.1	161 ± 9.8	164 ± 9.3	0.12
Body weight	70.2 ± 9.8	68.6 ± 10.1	71.1 ± 8.6	0.23

Table 2: The demographic and clinical characteristic in all subjects (gender, stone position, and laterality).

Varivables	Categories	5 mg	10 mg	Placebo	P value
		Solifenacin No. (%)	Solifenacin No. (%)	No. (%)	
Gender	Male	20 (50.0)	20 (50.0)	20 (50.0)	1.00
	Female	20 (50.0)	20 (50.0)	20 (50.0)	
Stone position	Upper 1/3	8 (20.0)	10 (25.0)	8 (20.0)	0.070
	Middle 1/3	12 (30.0)	14 (35.0)	10 (25.0)	
	Lower 1/3	20 (50.0)	16 (40.0)	22 (55.0)	
Stone laterality	Right side	23 (57.5)	19 (47.5)	24 (60.0)	0.090
	Left side	17 (42.5)	21 (52.5)	16 (40.0)	

Table 3: The comparison in double-J stent-related symptoms scores for all three groups.

Variables	Groups	N	Mean	S.D	P (ANOVA)	Groups	P value
Frequency	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	2.55	0.98	0.88	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.905
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	2.52	0.87		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	2.62	0.95		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.722
	Total	120	2.56	0.93		Placebo	
Urgency	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	0.55	0.63	0.001	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.641
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	0.47	0.64		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	2.12	0.85		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.001
	Total	120	1.05	1.04		Placebo	
Incontinence	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	0.10	0.30	0.001	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.833
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	0.07	0.26		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	0.80	0.82		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.001
	Total	120	0.32	0.62		Placebo	
Nocturia	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	1.80	0.72	0.36	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.764
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	1.75	0.74		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	1.97	0.76		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.295
	Total	120	1.84	0.74		Placebo	
Flank pain	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	1.02	0.69	0.001	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.780
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	0.97	0.80		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	2.12	0.88		Solifenacin (5mg)	.668
	Total	120	1.37	0.95		Placebo	
Abdominal pain	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	0.80	1.04	0.001	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.668
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	0.70	1.01		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	2.27	1.06		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.001
	Total	120	1.25	1.26		Placebo	
Urethral pain	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	1.07	1.02	0.001	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.918
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	1.05	1.08		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	2.22	1.14		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.001
	Total	120	1.45	1.20		Placebo	
Gross hematuria	Solifenacin (5mg)	40	1.18	1.15	0.001	Solifenacin (5mg)	0.619
	Solifenacin (10mg)	40	1.05	1.10		Solifenacin (10mg)	
	Placebo	40	2.15	1.09		Solifenacin (5mg)	0.001
	Total	120	1.46	1.21		Placebo	

Discussion

Research has revealed that there is no need for ureteral stenting after uncomplicated URSL.^{14,15} In the present study, we routinely placed the double-J stent in all subjects following URSL to prevent postoperative morbidities such as hydronephrosis and colic during the process of ureteral edema resolution after URSL. Undoubtedly, ureteral stents might assist in ensuring the patency of the involved ureter with adequate drainage. However, associated morbidities such as LUTS, body pain, and hematuria might cause significant adverse effects on patient's Quality of Life (QoL).² This study evaluated the effect of two different doses of solifenacin for the prevention of stent-related symptoms following URSL using a structured questionnaire as compared with the age- and gender-matched placebo group. In the present study, we found that solifenacin was effective for stent-related urgency, urgent incontinence, body pain, and hematuria for both genders with no significant difference between daily 5mg and 10 mg doses. The pathophysiology of stent-related symptoms is still unclear. Bladder and lower ureter spasm due to irritation of the intravesical portion of the stent is a probable mechanism for stent-related LUTS. The involuntary contraction of the bladder is mediated by muscarinic receptors.^{6,16} The irritation, spasm, and high-pressure transmission to the renal collecting system through a stent might be responsible for the urethra, abdomen, or flank pain.⁵ It has been suggested that gross hematuria is closely related to stent friction in the collecting system due to physical activity and low urine amount. However, intense and constant spasm and retrograde pressure transmission through the stent are also associated with hematuria.¹⁷ Several oral agents have been reported to relieve the stent-related symptoms, including tamsulosin, alfuzosin, and tolterodine ER, and the results were encouraging.⁶⁻⁸ Oral medication alone was most effective in

patients with short-term indwelling stent following URSL. Solifenacin is an effective and safe drug for treating overactive bladder with limited side effects.^{10,11,20,21} The present study also shows that the side effects of solifenacin in the study participants were limited. Moreover, most of the side effects, such as headache, dry mouth, and constipation, were minor and self-limited (Table 5).

Table 5: Side effects encountered in all the three groups.

	5 mg Solifenacin (n = 40)	10 mg Solifenacin (n = 40)	Placebo (n = 40)
Dry mouth	1	3	1
Constipation	1	2	0
Headache	0	3	1

In our study, the frequency and nocturia scores were not significantly decreased in the solifenacin groups (5mg & 10mg) as compared to the placebo group. Since water intake was encouraged for patients undergoing URSL and double-J stent indwelling, polyuria may explain the persistence of these symptoms. Male and female patients may suffer from different grades of stent-related symptoms. The present study reveals that male patients suffered more severe LUTS than female patients in the placebo group, although not statistically significant. It was noteworthy that solifenacin (both doses 5mg and 10mg) has been shown to be effective in treating stent-related symptoms for both genders in our study. Our study has some limitations. First, we did not collect preoperative (baseline) voiding and pain scores for all three groups to compare it postoperatively. Second, the determination of stone-free status by KUB in the present study may not be sufficient, since non-contrast CT is a better imaging modality for the detection of residual stones.^{23,24} Third, all the URSLs in the study were uncomplicated. Nevertheless, the ureteroscopic procedure has specific traumatic consequences on ureter, which

might be responsible for the lower urinary tract symptoms. This may confound the evaluation of solifenacina effect on the treatment of stent-related symptoms, which are directly induced by the stent itself.

Conclusion

For patients with ureteral calculi following URSL, postoperative 5 mg solifenacina or 10 mg Solifenacina are effective and well tolerated for the treatment of stent-related symptoms, mainly urgency and urgent incontinence, stent-related body pain and hematuria among both genders with no significant differences between 5 and 10 mg.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Lee YJ, Huang KH, Yang HJ, Chang HC, Chen J, Yang TK. Solifenacina improves double-J stent-related symptoms in both genders following uncomplicated ureteroscopic lithotripsy. *Urolithiasis* 2013; 41:247–52.
2. Joshi HB, Stainthorpe A, MacDonagh RP, Keeley FX, Timoney AG, Barry MJ. Indwelling ureteral stents: evaluation of symptoms, quality of life and utility. *J Urol* 2003; 169:1065–9.
3. Sighinolfi MC, Micali S, De Stefani S, Mofferdin A, Grande M, Giacometti M, et al. Indwelling ureteral stents and sexual health: a prospective, multivariate analysis. *J Urol* 2007; 178:229–31.
4. Damiano R, Autorino R, De Sio M, Cantiello F, Quarto G, Perdona S, et al. Does the size of ureteral stent impact urinary symptoms and quality of life? A prospective randomized study. *Eur Urol* 2005; 48:673–8.
5. Sharma R, Choudhary A, Das RK, Basu S, Dey RK, Gupta R, et al. Can a brief period of double J stenting improve the outcome of extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for renal calculi sized 1 to 2 cm? *Investig Clin Urol* 2017; 58(2):103–8.
6. Park SC, Jung SW, Lee JW, Rim JS. The effects of tolterodine extended release and alfuzosin for the treatment of double-J stent-related symptoms. *J Endourol* 2009; 23:1913–7.
7. Damiano R, Autorino R, De Sio M, Giacobbe A, Palumbo IM, D'Armiento M. Effect of tamsulosin in preventing ureteral stent-related morbidity: a prospective study. *J Endourol* 2008; 22:651–6.
8. Beddingfield R, Pedro RN, Hinck B, Kreidberg C, Feia K, Monga M. Alfuzosin to relieve ureteral stent discomfort: a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *J Urol* 2009; 181:170–6.
9. Nagele U, Kuczyk MA, Horstmann M, Hennenlotter J, Sievert KD, Schilling D, et al. Initial clinical experience with full-length metal ureteral stents for obstructive ureteral stenosis. *World J Urol* 2008; 26(3):257–62.
10. Vardy MD, Mitcheson HD, Samuels TA, Wegenke JD, Forero-Schwanhaeuser S, Marshall TS, et al. Effects of solifenacina on overactive bladder symptoms, symptom bother and other patient-reported outcomes: results from VIBRANT—a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pract* 2009; 63:1702–14.
11. Ho CH, Chang TC, Lin HH, Liu SP, Huang KH, Yu HJ. Solifenacina and tolterodine are equally effective in the treatment of overactive bladder symptoms. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2010; 109:702–8.
12. Lim KT, Kim YT, Lee TY, Park SY. Effects of tamsulosin, solifenacina, and combination therapy for the treatment of ureteral stent related discomforts. *Korean J Urol* 2011; 52:485–8.
13. Joshi HB, Newns N, Stainthorpe A, MacDonagh RP, Keeley FX, Timoney AG. Ureteral stent symptom questionnaire: development and validation of a multidimensional quality of life measure. *J Urol* 2003; 169:1060–4.
14. Gunlusoy B, Degirmenci T, Arslan M, Kozacyoglu Z, Minareci S, Ayder AR. Is ureteral catheterization necessary after ureteroscopic lithotripsy for uncomplicated upper ureteral stones? *J Endourol* 2008; 22:1645–8.
15. Chen YT, Chen J, Wong WY, Yang SS, Hsieh CH, Wang CC. Is ureteral stenting necessary after uncomplicated ureteroscopic lithotripsy? A prospective, randomized controlled trial. *J Urol* 2002; 167:1977–80.
16. Deliveliotis C, Chrisofos M, Gougousis E, Papatsoris A, Dellis A, Varkarakis IM. Is there a role for alpha1-blockers in treating double-J stent-related symptoms? *Urology* 2006; 67:35–9.
17. Gupta M, Patel T, Xavier K, Maruffo F, Lehman D, Walsh R, et al. Prospective randomized evaluation of periureteral botulinum toxin type A injection for ureteral stent pain reduction. *J Urol* 2010; 183:598–602.
18. Clayman M, Uribe C, Eichel L, Gordon Z, McDougall EM, Clayman RV. Comparison of guide wires in urology. Which, when and why? *J Urol* 2004; 171:2146–50.
19. Sarkissian C, Korman E, Hendlin K, Monga M. Systematic evaluation of hybrid guidewires: shaft stiffness, lubricity, and tip configuration. *Urology* 2012; 79:513–7.
20. Tahir W, Hakeem A, White A, Irving HC, Lloyd SN, Ahmad N. Extra-anatomic stent (EAS) as a salvage procedure for transplant ureteric stricture. *Am J Transplantation* 2014; 14(8):1927–30.
21. Herschorn S, Stothers L, Carlson K, Egerdie B, Gajewski JB, Pommerville P, et al. Tolerability of 5 mg solifenacina once daily versus 5 mg

- oxybutynin immediate release 3 times daily: results of the VECTOR trial. *J Urol* 2010; 183:1892–8.
22. van Kerrebroeck P, Abrams P, Chaikin D, Donovan J, Fonda D, Jackson S, et al. The standardisation of terminology in nocturia: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2002; 21:179–83.
23. Gotman I. Characteristics of metals used in implants. *J Endourol* 1997; 11(6):383–9.
24. Park J, Hong B, Park T, Park HK. Effectiveness of noncontrast computed tomography in evaluation of residual stones after percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *J Endourol* 2007; 21:684–7.